

Illustrated keys and a DNA barcode reference library of the amphibians and terrestrial reptiles (Amphibia, Reptilia) of São Tomé and Príncipe (Gulf of Guinea, West Africa)

Luis Miguel Pires Ceríaco^{1,2,3,4}, Mariana Pimentel Marques^{1,3,4,5}, Ana Carolina Andrade de Sousa⁶, Joana Veríssimo^{3,4,5}, Pedro Beja^{3,4,7}, Sónia Ferreira^{3,4}

1 Departamento de Zoologia e Antropologia (Museu Bocage), Museu Nacional de História Natural e da Ciência & Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical (IICT), Universidade de Lisboa, Rua da Escola Politécnica, 58, 1269-102 Lisboa, Portugal

2 Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Museu Nacional, Departamento de Vertebrados, Av. Bartolomeu de Gusmão 875, São Cristóvão, 20941-160 Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

3 CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Campus de Vairão, Universidade do Porto, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal

4 BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661, Vairão, Portugal

5 Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre 1021, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

6 Grutas da Moeda e Fátima Limitada, Largo das Grutas da Moeda, sem número, 2495-028 São Mamede, Portugal

7 CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa, Portugal

Corresponding author: Luis Miguel Pires Ceríaco (luisceriaco@gmail.com)



Academic editor: Anthony Herrel
Received: 3 February 2023
Accepted: 18 April 2023
Published: 27 June 2023

ZooBank: <https://zoobank.org/85BD70C3-23A1-48FF-A7E0-6000593A2471>

Citation: Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Sousa ACA, Veríssimo J, Beja P, Ferreira S (2023) Illustrated keys and a DNA barcode reference library of the amphibians and terrestrial reptiles (Amphibia, Reptilia) of São Tomé and Príncipe (Gulf of Guinea, West Africa). ZooKeys 1168: 41–75. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1168.101334>

Copyright: © Luis Miguel Pires Ceríaco et al. This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0).

Abstract

The herpetofauna of São Tomé and Príncipe consists of nine species of amphibians, all endemic, and 21 species of terrestrial reptiles, of which 17 are endemic. Our current knowledge regarding its natural history, ecology, and distribution is limited. Here two important tools are provided to support researchers, conservationists, and local authorities in the identification of the country's herpetofauna: an illustrated key to the herpetofauna of the two islands and surroundings islets and a DNA barcode reference library. The keys allow a rapid and unambiguous morphological identification of all occurring species. The DNA barcodes for the entire herpetofauna of the country were produced from 79 specimens, all of which are deposited in museum collections. The barcodes generated are available in online repositories and can be used to provide unambiguous molecular identification of most of the species. Future applications and use of these tools are briefly discussed.

Key words: Biological surveys, conservation, Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI), DNA metabarcoding, environmental DNA, Herpetofauna, Oceanic Islands

Introduction

The herpetofauna of São Tomé and Príncipe, a small insular country in the Gulf of Guinea, West Africa, has been the subject of an intense taxonomic and systematic revision in the last decade (see Bell et al. 2022a and Ceríaco et al. 2022 for an overview). Hence, the country's herpetofauna is currently one of the best known in Africa, with nine recorded species of amphibians (Bell et al. 2022a)

and 21 recorded species of reptiles (Ceríaco et al. 2022). Of these, all of the amphibian species are endemic to their respective island (Bell et al. 2022a), while 17 out of 21 reptiles are also endemic (Ceríaco et al. 2022).

Recent research efforts have focused on the taxonomic revision, systematic placements, and biogeographic patterns associated with the amphibians and terrestrial reptiles of the country. However, not much attention has been given to their natural history, distribution, and ecological relationships. Besides some anecdotal data available in taxonomic papers, only a few studies provided details on the trophic ecology of São Tomé and Príncipe herpetofauna (Manaças 1958, 1973; Delêtre and Measey 2004; Jones et al. 2006; Cascio 2022; Sousa et al. 2022). Recent research has focused on the trophic ecology of the Tinhosa Grande islet *Trachylepis adamastor* population (Sousa et al. 2022), and of the Príncipe Island endemic *Feylinia polylepis* (Cascio 2022). No data is currently available on predation by either native or introduced predators on the amphibians and reptiles of São Tomé and Príncipe. Contrary to birds (Melo et al. 2022), plants (Dauby et al. 2022), and sea turtles (Ferreira-Airaud et al. 2022), data on the habitat association of São Tomé's amphibians and reptiles is scarce and limited to *Hyperolius* tree frogs (Strauss et al. 2018). These data gaps preclude a more complete understanding of the ecology of these islands' ecosystems, its contextualization into broader scenarios, as well as the implementation of data-driven conservation strategies (Bell et al. 2022b; Soares et al. 2022).

Overcoming these knowledge gaps demands additional natural history and ecological studies using a plethora of field techniques, from traditional field surveys to the use of modern techniques such as DNA barcoding and metabarcoding. To contribute to a more accurate and easy identification of the amphibians and terrestrial reptiles of São Tomé and Príncipe, here we provide an illustrated identification key as well as a DNA barcode reference library.

Materials and methods

Field sampling and natural history collections

Specimens were collected in São Tomé and Príncipe islands and surrounding islets (Tinhosa Grande) following the traditional techniques used for herpetological surveys (see Simmons 2015) and in accordance with local and international legislation (see permits information in the acknowledgments). The collected specimens were fixed in the field with 10% buffered formalin and transferred to 70% ethanol for long-term preservation. Liver tissue was removed before formalin fixation and preserved in 95% ethanol for storage. Collected specimens were deposited in the Museu Nacional de História Natural e da Ciência (**MUHNAC**; Lisbon, Portugal) amphibians (MUHNAC/MB04) and reptiles (MUHNAC/MB03) collections, as well as in the Museu de História Natural e da Ciência da Universidade do Porto (**MHNCUP**; Porto, Portugal) amphibians (MHNCUP/AMP) and reptiles (MHNCUP/REP) collections (Table 1). Additional specimens housed in the collections of the Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical (**IICT**; Lisbon, Portugal, see Ceríaco et al. 2021a) and the California Academy of Sciences (**CAS**; San Francisco, USA) were also consulted and sequenced (Table 1).

Taxonomic allocation

The allocation of the collected specimens to the correct taxon followed the most updated taxonomic bibliography available for each group. This bibliography includes both morphological and molecular data and provides the most updated information regarding the occurring taxa. In many cases, the specimens used to generate the reference DNA barcodes in our study were those also used in some of these taxonomic revisions (e.g., Ceríaco et al. 2022). In all cases, we consulted the original description of the taxon and, whenever possible, examined the extant type specimens.

For the genus *Hyperolius* we followed Bell (2016) and Bell and Irian (2019), while for the genus *Phrynobatrachus* we followed Uyeda et al. (2007). Bell et al. (2015) and Bell and Irian (2019) noted that *Hyperolius thomensis* (Bocage, 1886), and *H. molleri* (Bedriaga, 1892), both endemic to São Tomé Island, hybridize where their ranges meet. Regarding the endemic caecilians of the genus *Schistometopum*, we followed the recent revision of O'Connell et al. (2021), which supported the existence of two separate species in São Tomé Island, distinguished both morphologically and molecularly. Similarly to the case of São Tomé Island's *Hyperolius*, the two *Schistometopum* species are also known to hybridize (O'Connell et al. 2021). The systematics of the Príncipe Island endemic *Leptopelis palmatus* was recently studied by Jaynes et al. (2021) and being the only representative of the genus in the country, it is an easily diagnosable species with respect to the remaining batrachofauna. Similarly, the São Tomé Island endemic *Ptychadena newtoni* is the single species of the genus occurring in the Island and poses no morphological identification issues, and Measey et al. (2007) assessed its systematic placement.

Regarding the terrestrial reptiles, the members of the genus *Trachylepis* (family Scincidae) have been extensively reviewed by Ceríaco (2015), and Ceríaco et al. (2016, 2020a), while those of the genus *Panaspis* (family Scincidae) have been critically addressed by Soares et al. (2018). The remaining member of family Scincidae, the Príncipe endemic *Feylinia polylepis*, has a stable taxonomic history, since the major review of the group by Brygoo and Roux-Estève (1983). Geckos of the genus *Lygodactylus* have been reviewed by Pasteur (1962), who pointed out the morphological differences between the two island's populations, considering them to be two different subspecies. Molecular support for this split was provided by Jesus et al. (2006), and each island population is considered as a separate species by Ceríaco et al. (2018, 2022). The taxonomy, phylogenetic affinities and nomenclatural history of the species of the genus *Hemidactylus* have been addressed by Miller et al. (2012) and Ceríaco et al. (2020b).

The main taxonomic uncertainties still open in the São Tomé and Príncipe herpetofauna lie within the scolecophidian snakes, namely those of the genus *Letheobia*. Four different taxa have been described so far: *Letheobia feae* and *L. newtoni* from São Tomé Island, and *L. principis* and *L. naveli* from Príncipe Island. The two species from Príncipe Island were synonymized respectively with those from São Tomé Island by Roux-Estève (1974) based on

morphological characters. No molecular data exist for the Príncipe populations, and thus their taxonomic relationships with the São Tomé forms have not been fully ascertained (Ceríaco et al. 2022). Given the patterns of speciation in the archipelago and the morphological conservatism of these snakes, the possibility that the Príncipe forms represent valid species needs to be investigated (Ceríaco et al. 2022). Given this uncertainty, we conservatively follow Roux-Estève (1974) and consider *L. principis* and *L. naveli* as junior synonyms of *L. feae* and *L. newtoni*, respectively. The other occurring scolecophidian snake, the Príncipe endemic *Afrotyphlops elegans*, is the only representative of the genus in the country and it is easily diagnosable against the remaining snakes. It was placed in the context of a global phylogeny by Hedges et al. (2014). Within the remaining snake groups, the species of the genus *Boaedon* (family Lamprophiidae) have been taxonomically reviewed by Ceríaco et al. (2021b), while the colubrids, genera *Philothamnus* and *Hapsidophrys*, have a very stable taxonomical history, with recent studies supporting their taxonomic identity (Engelbrecht et al. 2019; Jesus et al. 2009, respectively). The only confirmed species of elapid snake, *Naja peroescobari*, endemic to São Tomé Island, has been recently reviewed by Ceríaco et al. (2017). While a putative species of green mamba (genus *Dendroaspis*) has been cited from São Tomé Island (Ceríaco et al. 2018, 2022), its occurrence could not be confirmed and is therefore not considered here. Finally, the only terrapin in the country, *Pelusios castaneus*, has been confirmed to belong to the nominotypical form through molecular data (Fritz et al. 2010; Kindler et al. 2016). Roaming crocodilians, such as the recent arrival of a living individual of *Crocodylus niloticus* to the beaches of southeastern São Tomé Island, or non-established invasive species occasionally arriving to these islands (see Ceríaco et al. 2022), are not covered in this paper. Sea turtles are also not covered, as they are comprehensively treated elsewhere (Vargas et al. 2009).

DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from liver tissue sample using the EasySpin Genomic DNA Tissue Kit (Citomed) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA amplification was performed using two different primer pairs, that amplify partially overlapping fragments (LC + BH) of the 658 bp barcoding region of the Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I - COI mitochondrial gene (Folmer et al. 1994). We used the primers FwhF1 (Vamos et al. 2017) + C_R (Shokralla et al. 2015) for LC, and BF3 (Elbrecht et al. 2019) + BR2 (Elbrecht and Leese 2017) for BH amplification. Primers were ordered with 5' adaptor sequences to ensure they were compatible with downstream indexing allowing for a two-step PCR protocol. First-round PCRs were performed in 10 µl reactions, containing 5 µl of Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen, Germany), 0.3 µl of each 10 mM primer, and 1–2 µl of DNA, with the remaining volume in water. PCR cycling conditions consisted in an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 sec, annealing at 45 °C for 45 sec, and extension at 72 °C for 45 sec, and a final

elongation step at 60 °C for 10 min. Successful amplification was validated through 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and samples selected for sequencing followed for a second PCR, where Illumina P5 and P7 adapters with custom 7 bp long barcodes were attached to each PCR product. The index PCR was performed in a volume of 10 µL, including 5 µL of KAPA HiFi PCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems, U.S.A.), 0.5 µL of each 10 mM indexing primer, and 2 µL of diluted PCR product (usually 1:4). PCR cycling conditions were as before, except that only 10 cycles were performed and at an annealing temperature of 55 °C. The amplicons were purified using AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, U.S.A.) and quantified using NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Scientific, U.S.A.). Clean PCR products were then pooled equimolarly per fragment. Each pool was quantified with KAPA Library Quantification Kit Illumina Platforms (KAPA Biosystems, U.S.A.) and the 2200 Tapestation System (Agilent Technologies, California, USA) was used for fragment length analysis prior to sequencing (Paupério et al. 2018). DNA sequencing was done at CIBIO (Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos) facilities on an Illumina MiSeq benchtop system, using a V2 MiSeq sequencing kit (2× 250 bp).

Bioinformatics processing and data analysis

Illumina sequencing reads were processed using OBITools (Boyer et al. 2015) and VSEARCH (Rognes et al. 2016). Briefly, paired-end reads were aligned, collapsed into exact sequence variants, filtered by length, denoised, and checked for chimeras. The resulting sequences from both LC and BH fragments of each sample were further assembled using CAP3 (Huang and Madan 1999) to produce a single 658 bp contig per sample. All sequences in the dataset were submitted to Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD) and GenBank databases and, to each sequenced specimen, the morphological identification was contrasted with the results of the BLAST of the newly-generated DNA barcodes in the BOLD Identification Engine. Barcode Index Numbers (BIN) clusters were retrieved from BIN algorithm implemented in BOLD SYSTEMS. The BOLD BIN system uses algorithms to cluster sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) that closely correspond to species (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007, 2013). Interspecific distances were calculated using MEGA11 (Tamura et al. 2021).

Results

Morphological Identification

The 18 taxa (six amphibians, 12 terrestrial reptiles) occurring in São Tomé Island and its surrounding islets of Rolas, Cabras, and Santana, as well as the 17 taxa (three amphibians, 14 terrestrial reptiles) occurring in Príncipe Island and its surrounding islets of Tinhosa Grande and Joquéi are easily distinguishable from each other by a set of morphological, meristic, coloration and ecological characters (see Keys below).

Illustrated key to the species of amphibians and terrestrial reptiles from São Tomé Island, Rolas, Cabras, and Santana islets

1 Skin smooth, not covered with scales (Fig. 1A) 2 (Class Amphibia)
– Skin covered with scales (Fig. 1B) 7 (Class Reptilia)




Figure 1. **A** smooth skin, typical of amphibians **B** skin covered with scales, typical of reptiles. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

2 **Class Amphibia** Absence of limbs (Fig. 2A) 3 (Order Gymnophiona)
– **Class Amphibia** Four limbs present (Fig. 2B) 4 (Order Anura)



Figure 2. **A** absence of limbs, typical of order Gymnophiona **B** presence of four limbs, typical of order Anura.

3 **Class Amphibia: Order Gymnophiona** Immaculate bright yellow skin (Fig. 3A) *Schistometopum thomense*
– **Class Amphibia: Order Gymnophiona** Bright yellow skin flecked with brown markings (Fig. 3B) *Schistometopum ephelé*



Figure 3. **A** immaculate bright yellow skin, typical of *S. thomense* **B** bright yellow skin flecked with brown markings, typical of *S. ephelé*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

4 **Class Amphibia: Order Anura** Adhesive terminal discs on fingers and toes (Fig. 4A)..... 5 (Genus *Hyperolius*)
– **Class Amphibia: Order Anura** No adhesive terminal discs on fingers and toes (Fig. 4B) 6 (Genera *Ptychadena* and *Phrynobatrachus*)



Figure 4. A adhesive terminal discs B no adhesive terminal discs. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

5 **Class Amphibia: Order Anura: Genus *Hyperolius*** Snout as long as the eye diameter, finger, and toe disks orange above, male throat (Fig. 5A).....
..... *Hyperolius thomensis*
– **Class Amphibia: Order Anura: Genus *Hyperolius*** Snout longer than the eye diameter, finger, and toe disks red above, male throat orange (Fig. 5B).....
..... *Hyperolius molleri*




Figure 5. A *Hyperolius thomensis* B *Hyperolius molleri*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

6 **Class Amphibia: Order Anura: Genera *Ptychadena* and *Phrynobatrachus***
Large animals (maximum snout-vent length 86 mm), acuminate snout (Fig. 6A), tympanum visible, presence of dorsal skin folds, presence of well-developed foot webbing (Fig. 6C)..... *Ptychadena newtoni*
– **Class Amphibia: Order Anura: Genera *Ptychadena* and *Phrynobatrachus***
Small animals (maximum snout-vent length 21 mm), rounded snout (Fig. 6B), tympanum not visible, presence of dorsal warts, rudimentary foot webbing (Fig. 6D)..... *Phrynobatrachus leveleve*



Figure 6. **A** *Ptychadena newtoni* **B** foot with well-developed webbing **C** *Phrynobatrachus leveleve* **D** foot with rudimentary to no webbing. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

7 **Class Reptilia** Presence of a bony shell (Fig. 7A) *Pelusios castaneus*
– **Class Reptilia** Absence of a bony shell (Fig. 7B) **8 (Order Squamata)**




Figure 7. **A** presence of a bony shell, as typical of turtles, in this case *Pelusios castaneus* **B** absence of a bony shell, as typical of squamates. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

8 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Presence of four limbs (Fig. 8A).....
..... 9 (**Suborder Sauria**)
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Absence of four limbs (Fig. 8B).....
..... 14 (**Suborder Serpentes**)



Figure 8. A presence of four limbs B absence of limbs. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

9 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria** Presence of toepads on the ventral area of the digits (Fig. 9A), skin comprising granular scales with or without enlarged tubercles (Fig. 9C), eyes large.....
..... 10 (**Family Gekkonidae**)
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria** Presence of lamellae on the ventral area of the digits (Fig. 9B), skin comprising overlapping cycloid keeled scales (Fig. 9D), eyes small 13 (**Family Scincidae**)




Figure 9. A presence of toepads on the ventral area of the digits B presence of lamellae on the ventral area of the digits C skin composed by granular scales with or without enlarged tubercles D skin composed by overlapping cycloid keeled scales. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

10 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae**
First toe rudimentary (Fig. 10A), pupils round (Fig. 10C), diurnal, slender animal, green to dark brown *Lygodactylus thomensis*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae**
First toe well developed (Fig. 10B), pupils vertical (Fig. 10D), nocturnal, robust animal, whitish to brown 11 (Genus *Hemidactylus*)




Figure 10. A first toe rudimentary B first toe well developed C pupils round D pupils vertical. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

11 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Absence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit (Fig. 11A) *Hemidactylus greeffii*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Presence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit (Fig. 11B) 12




Figure 11. A absence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit B presence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

12 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Median subcaudals broadened transversely ($> \frac{1}{2}$ tail width; Fig. 12A), presence of 28–39 precloacal femoral pores in males (Fig. 12C)..... *Hemidactylus mabouia*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Median subcaudals small ($< \frac{1}{2}$ tail width; Fig. 12B), presence of 4–11 precloacal-femoral pores in males (Fig. 12D)..... *Hemidactylus longicephalus*



Figure 12. A median subcaudals broadened transversely B median subcaudals small C *Hemidactylus mabouia* D *Hemidactylus longicephalus*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

13 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae** Dorsal scales smooth (Fig. 13A), small limbs and digits, small animal (max SVL 47.7 mm) (Fig. 13C)..... *Panaspis thomensis*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae** Dorsal scales keeled (Fig. 13B), well-developed limbs and digits, large animal (max SVL 98.2 mm) (Fig. 13D) *Trachylepis thomensis*



Figure 13. A dorsal scales smooth B dorsal scales keeled C *Panaspis thomensis* D *Trachylepis thomensis*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

14 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes** Eyes rudimentary to non-visible (Fig. 14A), body with indistinct head, beaked snout dominated by very wide rostral scale (Fig. 14C), worm-like body shape.....
..... 15 (Family Typhlopidae)

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes** Eyes well developed and visible (Fig. 14B), body with distinct head, blunt snout with several cephalic scales of different sizes (Fig. 14D), snake-like body shape
..... 16 (Families Colubridae, Elapidae and Lamprophiidae)




Figure 14. **A** eyes rudimentary to non-visible **B** eyes well developed and visible **C** body with indistinct head, beaked snout dominated by very wide rostral scale **D** body with distinct head, blunt snout with several cephalic scales of different sizes. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

15 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae**
26–28 midbody scale rows, rostral moderately acuminate (Fig. 15A)..... *Letheobia newtoni*

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae**
21–22 midbody scale rows, rostral extremely acuminate (Fig. 15B) *Letheobia feae*




Figure 15. **A** *Letheobia newtoni* **B** *Letheobia feae* (adapted from Roux-Estève 1974). Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

16 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae, Elapidae and Lamprophiidae** 15 midbody scale rows, slender snake, green, anal scale divided (Fig. 16A) *Philothamnus thomensis*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae, Elapidae and Lamprophiidae** 19 or more midbody scale rows, robust snake, not green, anal scale un-divided (Fig. 16B) 17



Figure 16. A anal scale divided B anal scale entire. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

17 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae, Elapidae and Lamprophiidae** Dorsal coloration uniformly black, presence of specialized venom injecting fangs, presence of spike on the terminal part of the tail (Fig. 17A) *Naja (Boulengerina) peroescobari*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae, Elapidae and Lamprophiidae** Dorsal coloration brownish with dorsolateral cream stripes, absence of specialized venom injecting fangs, absence of spike on the terminal part of the tail (Fig. 17B) *Boaedon bedriagae*



Figure 17. A *Naja (Boulengerina) peroescobari* B *Boaedon bedriagae*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

**Illustrated key to the species of amphibians and terrestrial reptiles
from Príncipe Island and Bombom, Joquéi, and Tinhosas islets**

18 Skin smooth, not covered with scales (Fig. 18A) 19 (Class Amphibia)
– Skin covered with scales (Fig. 18B) 21 (Class Reptilia)



Figure 18. A smooth skin, typical of amphibians B skin covered with scales, typical of reptiles. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

19 **Class Amphibia, Class Amphibia, Order Anura** No adhesive terminal discs on fingers and toes (Fig. 19A) *Phrynobatrachus dispar*
– **Class Amphibia, Class Amphibia, Order Anura** Adhesive terminal discs on fingers and toes (Fig. 19B) 20 (Genera *Hyperolius* and *Leptopelis*)



Figure 19. A no adhesive terminal disks B adhesive terminal disks. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

20 **Class Amphibia, Order Anura, Genus *Hyperolius*** Large animals (max SVL 110 mm), pupils vertical, eyes deep red, tympanum visible (Fig. 20A).....
.....***Leptopelis palmatus***
– **Class Amphibia, Order Anura, Genus *Hyperolius*** Small animals (max SVL 33 mm), pupils horizontal, eyes golden, tympanum not visible (Fig. 20B) ..
.....***Hyperolius drewesi***




Figure 20. A *Leptopelis palmatus* B *Hyperolius drewesi*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

21 **Class Reptilia** Presence of a bony shell (Fig. 21A) ***Pelusios castaneus***
– **Class Reptilia** Absence of a bony shell (Fig. 21B) 22



Figure 21. A presence of a bony shell, as typical of turtles, in this case *Pelusios castaneus* B absence of a bony shell, as typical of squamates. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

22 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Presence of four limbs (Fig. 22A) 23
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Absence of four limbs (Fig. 22B)..... 29




Figure 22. A absence of limbs B presence of four limbs. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

23 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Skin comprising granular scales with or without enlarged tubercles (Fig. 23A), eyes large, presence of toepads on the ventral area of the digits (Fig. 23C).....24 (Family Gekkonidae)

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata** Skin comprising overlapping cycloid keeled scales (Fig. 23B), eyes small, presence of lamellae on the ventral area of the digits (Fig. 23D)27 (Family Scincidae [part])




Figure 23. **A** skin composed by granular scales with or without enlarged tubercles **B** skin composed by overlapping cycloid keeled scales **C** presence of toepads on the ventral area of the digits **D** presence of lamellae on the ventral area of the digits. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

24 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae**
First toe rudimentary (Fig. 24A), pupils round (Fig. 24C), diurnal, slender animal, green to dark brown*Lygodactylus delicatus*

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae**
First toe well developed (Fig. 24B), pupils vertical (Fig. 24D), nocturnal, robust animal, whitish to brown25 (Genus *Hemidactylus*)




Figure 24. **A** first toe rudimentary **B** first toe well developed **C** pupils round **D** pupils vertical. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

25 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Absence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit (Fig. 25A) *Hemidactylus principensis*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Presence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit (Fig. 25B) 26




Figure 25. **A** absence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit, *Hemidactylus principensis* **B** presence of terminal phalanx and claw on first digit. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

26 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Median subcaudals broadened transversely (> ½ tail width; Fig. 26A), presence of 28–39 precloacal-femoral pores in males (Fig. 26C) *Hemidactylus mabouia*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Median subcaudals small (< ½ tail width; Fig. 26B), presence of 4–11 precloacal-femoral pores in males (Fig. 26D) *Hemidactylus longicephalus*




Figure 26. **A** median subcaudals broadened transversely **B** median subcaudals small **C** *Hemidactylus mabouia* **D** *Hemidactylus longicephalus*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

27 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Dorsal scales smooth (Fig. 27A), small limbs and digits (Fig. 27C), small animal (max SVL 42.5 mm).....*Panaspis africana*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Gekkonidae, Genus *Hemidactylus*** Dorsal scales keeled (Fig. 27B), well-developed limbs and digits (Fig. 27D), large animal (max SVL 112 mm).....
.....**28 (Genus *Trachylepis*)**



Figure 27. **A** dorsal scales smooth and small limbs and digits **B** dorsal scales keeled and well-developed limbs and digits. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

28 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae, Genus *Trachylepis*** Absence of stripes, back uniformly greenish brown or dark, medium to large-sized animal (max SVL 58–112 mm) (Fig. 28A)
.....***Trachylepis adamastor***
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae, Genus *Trachylepis*** Presence of a white stripe on the lower part of the flanks, back uniformly brownish, small to medium-sized animal (SVL 39–71 mm) (Fig. 28B).....***Trachylepis affinis***



Figure 28. **A** *Trachylepis adamastor* **B** *Trachylepis affinis*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

29 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae and Suborder Serpentes** Eyes rudimentary to non-visible (Fig. 29A), worm-like body shape 30

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae and Suborder Serpentes** Eyes well developed and visible (Fig. 29B), snake-like body shape 33 (Families Colubridae and Lamprophiidae)




Figure 29. A eyes rudimentary to non-visible B eyes well developed and visible. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

30 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae and Suborder Serpentes** Acuminate snout and rostral scale roundish (Fig. 30A) *Feylinia polylepis*

– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Sauria, Family Scincidae and Suborder Serpentes** Short head and rostral scale in the shape of a fingernail (Fig. 30B) 31 (Family Typhlopidae)




Figure 30. A acuminate snout and rostral scale roundish B short head and rostral scale in the shape of a fingernail. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

31 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae**
Yellow coloration with black stripes (Fig. 31A), thick body, presence of a spike at the posterior end of the tail (Fig. 31C) *Afrotyphlops elegans*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae**
Beige coloration without stripes (Fig. 31B), thin body, absence of a spike at the posterior end of the tail (Fig. 31D) 32 (Genus *Letheobia*)




Figure 31. A yellow coloration with black stripes B pink to beige coloration without stripes C presence of a spike at the posterior end of the tail D absence of a spike at the posterior end of the tail. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

32 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae, Genus *Letheobia*** 26–28 midbody scale rows, rostral moderately acuminate (Fig. 32A) *Letheobia newtoni*
– **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Family Typhlopidae, Genus *Letheobia*** 21–22 midbody scale rows, rostral extremely acuminate (Fig. 32B) *Letheobia feae*




Figure 32. A *Letheobia newtoni* B *Letheobia feae* (adapted from Roux-Estève 1974). Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

33 **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae and Lamprophiidae** Smooth dorsal scales, brown to dark brown coloration, 24–29 midbody scale rows (Fig. 33A) *Boaedon mendesi*
 – **Class Reptilia, Order Squamata, Suborder Serpentes, Families Colubridae and Lamprophiidae** Strongly keeled dorsal scales, blueish green coloration, 15 midbody scale rows (Fig. 33B) *Hapsidophrys principis*



Figure 33. **A** *Boaedon mendesi* **B** *Hapsidophrys principis*. Photographs by Luis M. P. Ceríaco.

DNA barcoding library

We obtained the full barcode sequence (COI, 658 bp) for 79 specimens, including 50 reptiles of 21 species and 29 amphibians of 9 species (Table 1). Genetic distances between species ranged from 1.3% between *Hyperolius drewesi* and *Hyperolius molleri* to 26.8% between *Schistometopum thomense* and *Ptychadena newtoni* in amphibians; and from 6.5% between *Letheobia newtoni* and *Letheobia feae* to 27.9% between *Panaspis thomensis* and *Philothamnus thomensis* in reptiles. Analysis with the BOLD BIN system yielded nine BINs for amphibians and 23 BINs for reptiles, congruent with the morphological identifications. Only two species presented with two BINs each: *Hemidactylus longicephalus* and *Letheobia newtoni*. Of the 32 generated BINs, 25 BINs of 22 species are unique to this dataset, with only *Schistometopum thomense*, *Pelusios castaneus*, *Ptychadena newtoni*, *Leptopelis palmatus*, *Phrynobatrachus dispar*, *Hyperolius drewesi*, and *Hemidactylus mabouia* having COI DNA barcodes of other specimens previously sequenced and BINs attributed. Our results provide the first DNA barcodes for 19 reptiles and 4 amphibian species.

Table 1. List of taxa and respective specimens that were collected and DNA barcoded (Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I, 658 bp). *Indicate species with previously available BINs. See Materials and methods section for collection abbreviations.

| Species | Specimen ID | | Locality [coordinates, elevation] | BOLD BIN | GenBank accession number | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Museum number | BOLD code | | | | | | |
| AMPHIBIA | | | | | | | | |
| ORDER ANURA | | | | | | | | |
| Family Arthroleptidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus Leptopelis | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Leptopelis palmatus</i> (Peters, 1868)* | MB04-000792 | IAHTP015-22 | Príncipe Island: Campo Político [1.6448, 7.3990, 202 m] | BOLD:ADB9336 | Q0174598 | | | |
| | MB04-000791 | IAHTP014-22 | Príncipe Island: Pico Mesa, base [1.5876, 7.3571, 316 m] | | Q0174595 | | | |
| | MB04-000788 | IAHTP013-22 | Príncipe Island: Campo Político [1.6448, 7.3990, 202 m] | | Q0174628 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 401 | IAHTP068-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | Q0174604 | | | |

| Species | Specimen ID | | Locality [coordinates, elevation] | BOLD BIN | GenBank accession number | | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Museum number | BOLD code | | | | | | |
| Family Hyperoliidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus <i>Hyperolius</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hyperolius drewesi</i> Bell, 2016* | MHNC-UP-AMP 397 | IAHTP028-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | BOLD:ADC0467 | OQ174613 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 392 | IAHTP065-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174602 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 396 | IAHTP064-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174644 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 395 | IAHTP063-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174620 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 393 | IAHTP062-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174577 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 398 | IAHTP061-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174650 | | | |
| <i>Hyperolius molleri</i> (Bedriaga, 1892) | MHNC-UP-AMP 667 | IAHTP052-22 | São Tomé Island: Roça Santo António, surroundings [0.2362, 6.7274, 71 m] | BOLD:AEU9947 | OQ174614 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 666 | IAHTP051-22 | São Tomé Island: Roça Santo António, surroundings [0.2362, 6.7274, 71 m] | | OQ174611 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 665 | IAHTP044-22 | São Tomé Island: Bom Sucesso, plantation area, Botanical Garden surroundings [0.2884, 6.6118, 1400 m] | | OQ174646 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 660 | IAHTP037-22 | São Tomé Island: Botanical Garden surroundings, Bom Sucesso, dirt road to CST tower [0.2796, 6.6093, 1212 m] | | OQ174649 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 659 | IAHTP036-22 | São Tomé Island: Botanical Garden surroundings, Bom Sucesso, dirt road to CST tower [0.8497, 6.6099, 1149 m] | | OQ174587 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 658 | IAHTP034-22 | São Tomé Island: Botanical Garden surroundings, Bom Sucesso, dirt road to CST tower [0.8497, 6.6099, 1149 m] | | OQ174643 | | | |
| <i>Hyperolius thomensis</i> (Bocage, 1886) | MHNC-UP-AMP 661 | IAHTP039-22 | São Tomé Island: CST tower, near Bom Sucesso [0.2759, 6.6057, 1325 m] | BOLD:AEU9948 | OQ174586 | | | |
| Family Phrynobatrachidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus <i>Phrynobatrachus</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Phrynobatrachus dispar</i> (Peters, 1870)* | MHNC-UP-AMP 399 | IAHTP067-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315] | BOLD:ADC0190 | OQ174590 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 400 | IAHTP066-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | OQ174576 | | | |
| <i>Phrynobatrachus leveleve</i> Uyeda, Drewes & Zimkus, 2007 | MHNC-UP-AMP 664 | IAHTP043-22 | São Tomé Island: Bom Sucesso, plantation area, Botanical Garden surroundings [0.2884, 6.6118, 1155 m] | BOLD:AEV9460 | OQ174639 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 663 | IAHTP042-22 | São Tomé Island: Bom Sucesso, plantation area, Botanical Garden surroundings [0.2884, 6.6118, 1155 m] | | OQ174638 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 662 | IAHTP041-22 | São Tomé Island: Bom Sucesso, plantation area, Botanical Garden surroundings [0.2884, 6.6118, 1155 m] | | OQ174634 | | | |
| Family Ptychadenidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus <i>Ptychadena</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Ptychadena newtoni</i> (Bocage, 1886)* | CAS 261041 | IAHTP084-22 | São Tomé Island: outside of Malanza village, EMOLVA plantation [0.1149, 6.5929, 121 m] | BOLD:AAX7206 | OQ174608 | | | |
| ORDER GYMNOPHIONA | | | | | | | | |
| Family Dermophiidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus <i>Schistometopum</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Schistometopum ephele</i> Taylor, 1965* | MHNC-UP-AMP 673 | IAHTP057-22 | São Tomé Island: Água-Izé [0.2180, 6.7251, 47 m] | BOLD:AAN0016 | OQ174591 | | | |
| <i>Schistometopum thomense</i> (Bocage, 1873) | MHNC-UP-AMP 391 | IAHTP027-22 | São Tomé Island: Obô National Park, Botanical Garden, Bom Sucesso [0.28886, 6.6124, 1155 m] | BOLD:AEU6240 | OQ174647 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 675 | IAHTP059-22 | São Tomé Island: Água-Izé [0.2180, 6.7251, 47 m] | | OQ174605 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 674 | IAHTP058-22 | São Tomé Island: Água-Izé [0.2180, 6.7251, 47 m] | | OQ174625 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 672 | IAHTP056-22 | São Tomé Island: Roça Santo António, surroundings [0.2362, 6.7274, 71 m] | | OQ174584 | | | |
| | MHNC-UP-AMP 671 | IAHTP055-22 | São Tomé Island: Roça Santo António, surroundings [0.2362, 6.7274, 71 m] | | OQ174622 | | | |
| REPTILIA | | | | | | | | |
| ORDER SQUAMATA | | | | | | | | |
| Family Gekkonidae | | | | | | | | |
| Genus <i>Hemidactylus</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hemidactylus greeffii</i> Bocage, 1886 | MHNC-UP-REP 906 | IAHTP031-22 | São Tomé Island: Anambó, Padrão dos Descobrimentos [0.3251, 6.5093, 88 m] | BOLD:AEV3106 | OQ174597 | | | |

| Species | Specimen ID | | Locality [coordinates, elevation] | BOLD BIN | GenBank accession number | |
|--|-----------------|-------------|---|--------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Museum number | BOLD code | | | | |
| <i>Hemidactylus greeffii</i> Bocage, 1886 | MHNC-UP-REP 908 | IAHTP033-22 | São Tomé Island: Anambó, Padrão dos Descobrimentos [0.3251, 6.5093, 88 m] | BOLD:AEV3106 | Q0174630 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 907 | IAHTP032-22 | São Tomé Island: Anambó, Padrão dos Descobrimentos [0.3251, 6.5093, 88 m] | | Q0174617 | |
| <i>Hemidactylus longicephalus</i> Bocage, 1873 | CAS 218939 | IAHTP082-22 | São Tomé Island: coast road, SW of Lagoa Azul [0.4045, 6.6098, 18 m] | BOLD:AEW3810 | Q0174651 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 911 | IAHTP040-22 | São Tomé Island: cistern, Botanical Garden surroundings, Bom Sucesso [0.2884, 6.6118, 1155 m] | | Q0174589 | |
| <i>Hemidactylus mabouia</i> (Moreau de Jonnès, 1818)* | MHNC-UP-REP 915 | IAHTP047-22 | São Tomé Island: São Tomé city, on a wall [0.3428, 6.7386, 10 m] | BOLD:ADI2267 | Q0174618 | |
| <i>Hemidactylus principensis</i> Miller, Sellas & Drewes, 2012 | MHNC-UP-REP 853 | IAHTP021-22 | Príncipe Island: trail to Santo Cristo [1.6330, 7.4281, 157 m] | BOLD:AEW0476 | Q0174653 | |
| | MB03-001014 | IAHTP012-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3433, 7.2916, 61 m] | | Q0174581 | |
| | MB03-001013 | IAHTP011-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3439, 7.2926, 47 m] | | Q0174648 | |
| | MB03-001011 | IAHTP010-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3439, 7.2926, 47 m] | | Q0174640 | |
| Genus Lygodactylus | | | | | | |
| <i>Lygodactylus delicatus</i> Peters, 1881 | MHNC-UP-REP 857 | IAHTP024-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real, hospital ruins [1.6221, 7.4038, 137 m] | BOLD:AEV6848 | Q0174642 | |
| <i>Lygodactylus thomensis</i> (Peters, 1881) | MHNC-UP-REP 905 | IAHTP030-22 | São Tomé Island: Santana beach [0.2452, 6.7452, 23 m] | BOLD:AEW0905 | Q0174635 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 904 | IAHTP029-22 | São Tomé Island: Santana beach [0.2452, 6.7452, 23 m] | | Q0174641 | |
| Family Scincidae | | | | | | |
| Genus Feylinia | | | | | | |
| <i>Feylinia polylepis</i> Bocage, 1887 | MHNC-UP-REP 856 | IAHTP023-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real surroundings [1.6237, 7.4066, 126 m] | BOLD:AEV2384 | Q0174578 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 847 | IAHTP073-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real surroundings [1.6237, 7.4066, 126 m] | | Q0174583 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 846 | IAHTP072-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real surroundings [1.6237, 7.4066, 126 m] | | Q0174623 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 845 | IAHTP071-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real surroundings [1.6237, 7.4066, 126 m] | | Q0174585 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 844 | IAHTP070-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | Q0174612 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 843 | IAHTP069-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | Q0174633 | |
| Genus Panaspis | | | | | | |
| <i>Panaspis africana</i> (Gray, 1845) | MHNC-UP-REP 854 | IAHTP022-22 | Príncipe Island: trail to Santo Cristo [1.6330, 7.4281, 157 m] | BOLD:AEU9662 | Q0174596 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 849 | IAHTP075-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, trail to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | | Q0174645 | |
| <i>Panaspis thomensis</i> Ceríaco, Soares, Marques, Bastos-Silveira, Scheinberg, Harris, Brehm & Jesus in Soares, Ceríaco, Marques, Bastos-Silveira, Scheinberg, Harris, Brehm & Jesus, 2018 | MHNC-UP-REP 840 | IAHTP018-22 | São Tomé Island: Obô National Park, Botanical Garden, Bom Sucesso [0.2888, 6.6124, 1155 m] | BOLD:AEU9663 | Q0174579 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 839 | IAHTP017-22 | São Tomé Island: Obô National Park, Botanical Garden, Bom Sucesso [0.2888, 6.6124, 1155 m] | | Q0174619 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 912 | IAHTP045-22 | São Tomé Island: trail to Lagoa Amélia [0.2887, 6.6105, 1163 m] | | Q0174601 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 909 | IAHTP035-22 | São Tomé Island: Obô National Park, Botanical Garden, Bom Sucesso [0.2888, 6.6124, 1155 m] | | Q0174582 | |
| Genus Trachylepis | | | | | | |
| <i>Trachylepis adamastor</i> Ceríaco, 2015 | MB03-001050 | IAHTP009-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3424, 7.2890, 41 m] | BOLD:AEU9663 | Q0174579 | |
| <i>Trachylepis adamastor</i> Ceríaco, 2015 | MB03-001049 | IAHTP008-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3424, 7.2890, 41 m] | BOLD:AEU9663 | Q0174629 | |
| | MB03-001048 | IAHTP007-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3427, 7.2914, 55 m] | | Q0174603 | |
| | MB03-001047 | IAHTP006-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3431, 7.2917, 60 m] | | Q0174580 | |
| | MB03-001046 | IAHTP005-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3436, 7.2922, 40 m] | | Q0174600 | |
| | MB03-001045 | IAHTP004-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3437, 7.2924, 35 m] | | Q0174615 | |
| | MB03-001044 | IAHTP003-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3438, 7.2926, 30 m] | | Q0174621 | |
| | MB03-001043 | IAHTP002-22 | Tinhosa Grande Islet [1.3414, 7.2932, 64 m] | | Q0174588 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 851 | IAHTP077-22 | Príncipe Island: trail to Santo Cristo [1.6330, 7.4281, 157 m] | | Q0174609 | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 848 | IAHTP074-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real surroundings [1.6237, 7.4066, 126 m] | | Q0174632 | |

| Species | Specimen ID | | Locality [coordinates, elevation] | BOLD BIN | GenBank accession number | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Museum number | BOLD code | | | | | |
| <i>Trachylepis affinis</i> (Gray, 1838) | MHNC-UP-REP 858 | IAHTP025-22 | Príncipe Island: Banana beach overview [1.6884, 7.4435, 99 m] | BOLD:AEW1901 | OQ174592 | | |
| <i>Trachylepis thomensis</i> Ceríaco, Marques & Bauer, 2016 | MHNC-UP-REP 842 | IAHTP020-22 | São Tomé Island: Escola Portuguesa de São Tomé e Príncipe [0.3543, 6.7186, 42 m] | BOLD:AEU7392 | OQ174626 | | |
| | MHNC-UP-REP 841 | IAHTP019-22 | São Tomé Island: Escola Portuguesa de São Tomé e Príncipe [0.3546, 6.7185, 38 m] | | | | |
| Family Typhlopidae | | | | | | | |
| Genus Afrotyphlops | | | | | | | |
| <i>Afrotyphlops elegans</i> (Peters 1868) | MB03-000969 | IAHTP016-22 | Príncipe Island: Porto Real [1.6243, 7.4053, 125 m] | BOLD:AEV9368 | OQ174636 | | |
| Genus Letheobia | | | | | | | |
| <i>Letheobia feae</i> (Boulenger, 1906) | CAS 218907 | IAHTP080-22 | São Tomé Island: on road between Bombaim and Santa Adelaide at rio Abade bridge [0.2542, 6.6300, 1261 m] | BOLD:AEW5328 | OQ174610 | | |
| <i>Letheobia newtoni</i> (Bocage, 1890) | MB03-000974 | IAHTP001-22 | São Tomé Island: Botanical Garden, Bom Sucesso [0.2743, 6.5858, 1156 m] | BOLD:AEV5663 | OQ174637 | | |
| | CAS 218908 | IAHTP081-22 | São Tomé Island: on road between Bombaim and Santa Adelaide at rio Abade bridge [0.2542, 6.6300, 1261 m] | BOLD:AEV5664 | OQ174599 | | |
| Family Colubridae | | | | | | | |
| Genus Hapsidophrys | | | | | | | |
| <i>Hapsidophrys principis</i> (Boulenger, 1906) | MHNC-UP-REP 859 | IAHTP026-22 | Príncipe Island: Road to Bom Bom resort [1.6885, 7.4039, 43 m] | BOLD:AEW0890 | OQ174593 | | |
| Genus Philothamnus | | | | | | | |
| <i>Philothamnus thomensis</i> Bocage, 1882 | CAS 233675 | IAHTP083-22 | São Tomé Island: bridge at Água Panada near Santa Catarina [0.2680, 6.6489, 418 m] | BOLD:AEV9763 | OQ174652 | | |
| | CAS 218823 | IAHTP079-22 | São Tomé Island: mouth of Água Anambó [0.3257, 6.5084, 14 m] | | | | |
| Family Lamprophiidae | | | | | | | |
| Genus Boaedon | | | | | | | |
| <i>Boaedon bedriagae</i> Boulenger, 1906 | MHNC-UP-REP 917 | IAHTP049-22 | São Tomé Island: on a dirt road next to the cocoa plantation, on the outskirts of Roça Santo António [00.2365, 6.7275, 71 m] | BOLD:AEW1645 | OQ174624 | | |
| <i>Boaedon mendesi</i> Ceríaco, Arellano, Jadin, Marques, Parrinha & Hallermann, 2021 | MHNC-UP-REP 850 | IAHTP076-22 | Príncipe Island: Biosphere Reserve, tril to Santa Joaquina overview [1.6048, 7.4018, 315 m] | BOLD:AEW1644 | OQ174616 | | |
| Family Elapidae | | | | | | | |
| Genus Naja | | | | | | | |
| <i>Naja peroescobari</i> Ceríaco, Marques, Schmitz & Bauer, 2017 | MHNC-UP-REP 913 | IAHTP046-22 | São Tomé Island: trail to Lagoa Amélia [0.2717, 6.6280, 967 m] | BOLD:AEU9514 | OQ174594 | | |
| ORDER TESTUDINES | | | | | | | |
| Family Pelomedusidae | | | | | | | |
| Genus Pelusios | | | | | | | |
| <i>Pelusios castaneus</i> (Schweigger, 1812)* | MHNC-UP-REP 919 | IAHTP060-22 | São Tomé Island: Roça Santo António surroundings, in a small stream [0.2392, 6.7305, 64 m] | BOLD:AAX1351 | OQ174606 | | |

Discussion

The illustrated keys provided here aim to facilitate a rapid, accurate, and easy identification of the amphibians and reptiles occurring in São Tomé and Príncipe, serving as a baseline for future ecological studies and surveys, as well as conservation actions. Moreover, they will serve as an important support for the work of the forthcoming generations of researchers studying the biodiversity of these islands. In most cases, morphological identification is sufficient to answer the need of researchers, conservationists, and local authorities and constitutes a rapid and inexpensive method. The species occurring in each is-

land are taxonomically diverse, belonging to different families and genera, and even the taxa that belong to the same genus (maybe with the exception of the members of the genus *Letheobia* in both islands and *Schistometopum* in São Tomé Island) present several conspicuous morphological characters that allow a rapid identification by even a non-herpetologist in most of the situations.

Notwithstanding, DNA barcodes may play an important role in the identification of juveniles lacking good diagnosable traits, amphibian eggs, and larval individuals, and of species with very cryptic morphological variation (e.g., members of the genus *Letheobia* in both islands and *Schistometopum* in São Tomé Island). Moreover, barcodes can be useful to identify poorly preserved and/or partly digested specimens originated from stomach contents or scats of other animals, or to identify animals' parts and/or animal products being trafficked. However, attention is always needed, and results may sometimes require additional evidence to confidently link a given BIN to a taxon. Single gene methods for species delimitation, such as the use of a single mitochondrial gene as COI, presents some caveats that need to be considered (Dufresne and Jablonski 2022). While BOLD BINs approaches are originally designed for specimen identification, not species delimitation, some abuses and misinterpretations have occurred, leading users to consider BINs as surrogates for taxa (Meier et al. 2021). In our results, most of the BINs were in accordance with the previous taxonomic identification of the respective specimen, but they disagreed in two cases, *Hemidactylus longicephalus* and *Letheobia newtoni*, in which the BOLD BIN system provided two different BINs for each taxon. This is mostly explained by the existence of intraspecific diversity within the São Tomé population of these species, which can be a result of some degree of geographic isolation between the sequenced specimens. Subsequent morphological analysis of the barcoded specimens of these two taxa, as well as sequencing of additional mitochondrial and nuclear genes which were run against existing phylogenies of the respective groups (Hedges et al. 2014; Ceríaco et al. 2020b) confirmed that the barcoded specimens represent only two taxa, *H. longicephalus* and *L. newtoni*, and no cryptic diversity exists within each taxon. Also, the use of a single mitochondrial gene makes an unambiguous identification impossible in the case of hybrid populations, such as those reported for the species of *Hyperolius* and *Schistometopum* on São Tomé Island (Bell et al. 2015; Bell and Irian 2019; O'Connell et al. 2021). For such cases, nuclear markers are needed to confidently assess their identification. When considering the previously existing DNA sequences, we also found that the specimen identified as *Schistometopum thomense* in Zhang and Wake (2009) groups with our single specimen of *Schistometopum ephele* sharing the BIN [BOLD:AAN0016](#), both showing a divergence above 3% from all the five specimens of *Schistometopum thomense* in our dataset. This inconsistency roots in an understandable misidentification by Zhang and Wake (2009), as *S. ephele* was at that time still considered as a synonym of *S. thomense* (see O'Connell et al. 2021).

When a solid, complete, and taxonomically well-curated DNA barcode reference library exists, DNA metabarcoding analyses will allow a more detailed and complete glimpse to the understanding of prey patterns in both native and invasive predators (Pompanon et al. 2012; Forin-Wiart et al. 2018; Sousa et al. 2019; Mata et al. 2021; Silva et al. 2021). This is critical for our knowledge and conservation of São Tomé and Príncipe herpetofauna, as the ecological role of the am-

phibians and reptiles in the local food chain is mostly unknown. This is currently a major information gap because some species may be negatively affected by invasive predators (Bell et al. 2022a; Ceríaco et al. 2022), while others may be feeding on the invasive mammal populations (Ceríaco et al. 2017). DNA barcoding is a relevant method in forensics and to monitor illegal trafficking and has been successfully applied in many regions of the world, for both fauna and flora (Li et al. 2017; Smart et al. 2021; Gostel and Kress 2022). This approach can be of special relevance for the case of the Endangered São Tomé Cobra-Preta, *Naja peroescobari*, for which reports indicate that certain body parts (fat and meat) are being nationally commercialized and internationally trafficked for their assumed benefits for traditional medicines (Ceríaco et al. 2017, 2022).

More recently, environmental DNA approaches have been employed to contribute to the survey of vertebrate species, including amphibians and reptiles (Ficetola et al. 2019; Buxton et al. 2022; Moss et al. 2022; Nordstrom et al. 2022). These approaches can, theoretically, be faster and less dependent on taxonomic expertise (Ruppert et al. 2019) and have been used to try to document the presence of rare and ecologically cryptic and difficult to observe taxa (Rojahn et al. 2021), as well as invasive taxa (Mahon and Jerde 2016). The effectiveness of environmental DNA approaches to survey amphibians and reptiles in the wild is not generalized across the different taxonomic and functional groups, being much more effective for the case of strictly aquatic amphibians (Buxton et al. 2022; Moss et al. 2022) but tends to be less complete for the case of more terrestrial amphibians and reptiles (Kyle et al. 2022; Nordstrom et al. 2022; pers. obs.). Despite its current caveats, environmental DNA is being perceived as an important tool for future studies on African biodiversity (Heyden 2022), and could act as an important component for surveys in usually logistically difficult areas in the islands of São Tomé and Príncipe, where the traditional surveys are highly impacted by the terrain and climatic harsh conditions.

While DNA barcoding is a powerful and useful tool to answer multiple ecological questions, the traditional taxonomic practice remains the fundamental part of biological research and it is impossible to be substituted by any novel technical approaches (Engel et al. 2022). This work is itself a proof of this, as the assembling of this solid and trustworthy DNA barcoding library was entirely dependent on historical and modern taxonomic works that extensively reviewed the identity of all occurring herpetological taxa (Bell et al. 2022a; Ceríaco et al. 2022) and the collection of specimens subsequently deposited in public accessible natural history collections (Rocha et al. 2014). Being one of the taxonomically most well-known and intensively reviewed herpetofauna of Africa, without many taxonomic uncertainties, a considerable number of available specimens and tissue samples and a relatively modest number of occurring taxa, the herpetofauna of São Tomé and Príncipe presents an ideal case for assembling a complete and trustworthy country-wide DNA barcoding library. For the São Tomé and Príncipe birds, a similar group in terms of stable taxonomy, available specimens and tissue samples and a relatively manageable number of taxa (Melo et al. 2022) are another perfect candidate group for the creation of such a library. For other vertebrate groups such as mammals (Rainho et al. 2022) and fishes (da Costa et al. 2022), the rapid assembly of a complete and trustworthy country-wide DNA barcoding library is made difficult by the considerable number of taxonomic uncertainties plaguing some of its

species complexes, too large numbers of occurring taxa, and few readily available samples. This situation is even more striking for the case of invertebrates for which the available taxonomic data and for which more fieldwork, collection of new vouchers, and detailed taxonomic revisions are urgently needed (Bell et al. 2022b; Crews and Esposito 2022; Dijkstra et al. 2022; Mendes and Bivar-de-Sousa 2022; Nève et al. 2022; Panisi et al. 2022). As noted by Edward O. Wilson (2017) and Engel et al. (2022), “more [taxonomists’] boots on the ground” are needed to overcome this current taxonomic impediment and provide the basis for the preservation of the unique biodiversity of these islands.

Acknowledgements

The present work is the result of multiple initiatives dedicated to the study of the biodiversity of São Tomé and Príncipe. The first three authors have been conducting herpetological studies in the country since 2013 in collaboration with the local authorities, namely the General Office for the Environment from the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources, the Regional Government of Príncipe, and Príncipe Obo National Park. Fieldwork, specimen collection, and export permits were issued by these authorities, which we acknowledge. A special thanks is owed to José Cassandra, former President of the Regional Government of Príncipe, Daniel Ramos, former director of Príncipe Obo National Park, and Arlindo Ceita Carvalho and Lourenço Monteiro de Jesus, respectively the former and present directors of the General Office for the Environment from the Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources. These activities have mostly been supported by the three first authors’ personal funds, with occasional contributions of Príncipe Trust, Escola Portuguesa de São Tomé & Príncipe, and the Museu de História Natural e da Ciência da Universidade do Porto. Rayna Bell, Lauren Scheinberg, and Erica Ely from the California Academy of Sciences are especially thanked for providing tissue samples from the collections under their care.

Finally, we thank Ostelino Rocha (Balô), Pedro Ceríaco, Sofia Perestrelo, Estrela Matilde, Manuela Costeira, Carlos Gomes (Kalu), Sandra Ferreira, Pedro Lorena, António Almeida, and Suzete Pereira for their assistance, support, and friendship during the fieldwork during the last ten years. The authors would like to thank Aaron M. Bauer, Olivier Pauwels, and a third anonymous reviewer for the comments and suggestions on the original version of this manuscript.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

No conflict of interest was declared.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

Funds for DNA barcoding were available in the framework of the partnership agreement between BirdLife International and the BIOPOLIS association to promote informed, evidence-based biodiversity conservation action in São Tomé and Príncipe, which is funded by the European Union through the ‘Landscape Management in São Tomé and Príncipe’

project (ENV/2020/420-182) and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 854248.

Article processing fees were funded by the project TROPIBIO NORTE-01-0145-FED-ER-000046, supported by Norte Portugal Regional Operational Programme (NORTE2020), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).

MPM and JV were supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through PhD grants (SFRH/BD/129924/2017, COVID/BD/152155/2022 and SFRH/BD/133159/2017, COVID/BD/152600/2022), SF was funded by the FCT through the program 'Stimulus of Scientific Employment, Individual Support—3rd Edition' (2020.03526. CEECIND). LMPC was funded by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) of the Ministry of Education of Brazil, under the Programa Institucional de Internacionalização (PRINT), process number 88887.695166/2022-00.

Author contributions

Luis Miguel Pires Ceríaco: conceptualization, writing - original draft, writing - review and editing, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration. Mariana Pimentel Marques: conceptualization, writing - original draft, writing - review and editing, data curation, formal analysis, investigation. Ana Carolina Andrade de Sousa: conceptualization, writing - original draft, writing - review and editing, investigation, methodology. Joana Veríssimo: writing - review and editing, data curation, formal analysis, methodology. Pedro Beja: writing - review and editing, funding acquisition. Sónia Ferreira: conceptualization, writing - original draft, writing - review and editing, formal analysis, investigation, methodology.

Author ORCIDs

Luis Miguel Pires Ceríaco  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0591-9978>

Mariana Pimentel Marques  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1712-2632>

Ana Carolina Andrade de Sousa  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3435-8775>

Joana Veríssimo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8721-9376>

Pedro Beja  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8164-0760>

Sónia Ferreira  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6884-3966>

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

Bell RC (2016) A new species of *Hyperolius* (Amphibia: Hyperoliidae) from Príncipe Island, Democratic Republic of São Tomé and Príncipe. *Herpetologica* 72(4): 343–351. <https://doi.org/10.1655/Herpetologica-D-16-00008.1>

Bell RC, Irian CG (2019) Phenotypic and genetic divergence in reed frogs across a mosaic hybrid zone on São Tomé Island. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. Linnean Society of London 128(3): 672–680. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blz131>

Bell RC, Drewes RC, Zamudio KR (2015) Reed frog diversification in the Gulf of Guinea: Overseas dispersal, the progression rule, and *in situ* speciation. *Evolution* 69(4): 904–915. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evol.12623>

Bell RC, Ceríaco LMP, Scheinberg LA, Drewes RC (2022a) The amphibians of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, de Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds)

Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 479–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_18

Bell RC, Ceríaco LMP, de Lima RF, Melo M (2022b) A Thriving Future for the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, de Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 691–694. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_26

Boyer F, Mercier C, Bonin A, Le Bras Y, Taberlet P, Coissac E (2015) Obitools: A unix-inspired software package for DNA metabarcoding. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 16(1): 176–182. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12428>

Brygoo ÉR, Roux-Estève R (1983) *Feylinia*, genre de Lézards africains de la famille des Scincidae, sous-famille des Feyliniinae. *Bulletin du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris* 4(5): 307–341. <https://doi.org/10.5962/p.286004>

Buxton A, Diana A, Matechou E, Griffin J, Griffiths RA (2022) Reliability of environmental DNA surveys to detect pond occupancy by newts at a national scale. *Scientific Reports* 12(1): 1295. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05442-1>

Cascio PL (2022) Remarks on the ecology of the endemic skink *Feylinia polylepis* Bocage, 1887 from Príncipe Island, Gulf of Guinea. *Herpetology Notes* 15: 683–685. <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/view/72693>

Ceríaco LMP (2015) Lost in the middle of the sea, found in the back of the shelf: A new giant species of *Trachylepis* (Squamata: Scincidae) from Tinhosa Grande islet, Gulf of Guinea. *Zootaxa* 3973(3): 511–527. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3973.3.6>

Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Bauer AM (2016) A review of the genus *Trachylepis* (Sauria: Scincidae) from the Gulf of Guinea, with descriptions of two new species in the *Trachylepis maculilabris* (Gray, 1845) species complex. *Zootaxa* 4109(3): 284–314. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4109.3.2>

Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Schmitz A, Bauer AM (2017) The “Cobra-preta” of São Tomé Island, Gulf of Guinea, is a new species of *Naja Laurenti*, 1768 (Squamata: Elapidae). *Zootaxa* 4324(1): 121–141. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4324.1.7>

Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Bauer AM (2018) *Miscellanea Herpetologica Sanctithomae*, with a provisional checklist of the terrestrial herpetofauna of São Tomé, Príncipe and Annobon islands. *Zootaxa* 4387(1): 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4387.1.4>

Ceríaco LMP, Bernstein J, Sousa AC, Marques MP, Bauer AM, Norder SJ (2020a) The reptiles of Tinhosa Grande islet (Gulf of Guinea): A taxonomic update and the role of Quaternary sea level fluctuations in their diversification. *African Journal of Herpetology* 69(2): 200–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2020.1832151>

Ceríaco LMP, Agarwal I, Marques MP, Bauer AM (2020b) A review of the genus *Hemidactylus* Goldfuss, 1820 (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Angola, with the description of two new species. *Zootaxa* 4746(1): 1–71. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4746.1.1>

Ceríaco LMP, Parrinha D, Marques MP (2021a) Saving collections: Taxonomic revision of the herpetological collection of the Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical, Lisbon (Portugal) with a protocol to rescue abandoned collections. *ZooKeys* 1052: 85–156. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1052.64607>

Ceríaco LMP, Arellano AL, Jadin RC, Marques MP, Parrinha D, Hallermann J (2021b) Taxonomic revision of the Jita snakes (Lamprophiidae: *Boaedon*) from São Tomé and Príncipe (Gulf of Guinea), with the description of a new species. *African Journal of Herpetology* 70(1): 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2020.1832152>

Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Bell RC, Bauer AM (2022) The Terrestrial Reptiles of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiver-

sity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 505–534. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_19

Crews SC, Esposito LA (2022) A Checklist of the Arachnids from the Gulf of Guinea Islands (Excluding Ticks and Mites). In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 273–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_11

da Costa LM, Maia HA, Almeida AJ (2022) The Fishes of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 431–478. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_17

Dauby G, Stévert T, Barberá P, Benítez L, Madureira MC, Soares FC, Viennois G, Lima RF (2022) Classification, Distribution, and Biodiversity of Terrestrial Ecosystems in the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 37–69. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_3

Delêtre M, Measey GJ (2004) Sexual selection vs ecological causation in a sexually dimorphic caecilian *Schistometopum thomense* (Amphibia Gymnophiona Caeciliidae). *Ethology Ecology and Evolution* 16(3): 243–253. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2004.9522635>

Dijkstra K-DB, Tate RB, Papazian M (2022) Dragonflies and Damselflies (Odonata) of Príncipe, São Tomé and Annobón. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 371–381. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_14

Dufresne C, Jablonski D (2022) A genomics revolution in amphibian taxonomy. *Science* 377(6612): 1272. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade5002>

Elbrecht V, Leese F (2017) Validation and Development of COI Metabarcoding Primers for Freshwater Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 5: 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2017.00011>

Elbrecht V, Braukmann TW, Ivanova NV, Prosser SWJ, Hajibabaei M, Wright M, Zakharov EV, Hebert PDN, Steinke D (2019) Validation of COI metabarcoding primers for terrestrial arthropods. *PeerJ Preprints* 7: e27801v2. <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27801v2>

Engel MS, Ceríaco LMP, Daniel GM, Dellapé PM, Löbl I, Marinov M, Reis RE, Young MT, Dubois A, Agarwal I, Lehmann P, Alvarado M, Alvarez N, Andreone F, Araujo-Vieira K, Ascher JS, Baêta D, Baldo D, Bandeira SA, Barden P, Barrasso DA, Bendifallah L, Bockmann FA, Böhme W, Borkent A, Brandão CRF, Busack SD, Bybee SM, Channing A, Chatzimanolis S, Christenhusz MJM, Crisci JV, D'Elía G, da Costa LM, Davis SR, de Lucena CAS, Deuve T, Elizalde SF, Faivovich J, Farooq H, Ferguson AW, Gippoliti S, Gonçalves FMP, Gonzalez VH, Greenbaum E, Hinojosa-Díaz IA, Ineich I, Jiang J, Kahono S, Kury AB, Lucinda PHF, Lynch JD, Malécot V, Marques MP, Marris JWM, McKellar RC, Mendes LF, Nihei SS, Nishikawa K, Ohler A, Orrico VGD, Ota H, Paiva J, Parrinha D, Pauwels OSG, Pereyra MO, Pestana LB, Pinheiro PDP, Prendini L, Prokop J, Rasmussen C, Rödel M-O, Rodrigues MT, Rodríguez SM, Salatnaya H, Sampaio I, Sánchez-García A, Shebl MA, Santos BS, Solórzano-Kraemer MM, Sousa ACA, Stoev P, Teta P, Trape J-F, dos Santos CV-D, Vasudevan K, Vink CJ, Vogel G, Wagner P, Wappler T, Ware JL, Wedmann S, Zacharie CK (2022) The taxonomic impediment: A shortage of taxonomists, not the lack of technical approaches. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 193(2): 381–387. <https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlab072>

Engelbrecht HM, Branch WR, Greenbaum E, Alexander GJ, Jackson K, Burger M, Conradi W, Kusamba C, Zassi-Boulou A-G, Tolley KA (2019) Diversifying into the branch-

es: Species boundaries in African green and bush snakes, *Philothamnus* (Serpentes: Colubridae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 130: 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2018.10.023>

Ferreira-Airaud B, Schmitt V, Vieira S, do Rio MJC, Neto E, Pereira J (2022) The Sea Turtles of São Tomé and Príncipe: Diversity, Distribution and Conservation Status. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 535–553. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_20

Ficetola GF, Manenti R, Taberlet P (2019) Environmental DNA and metabarcoding for the study of amphibians and reptiles: Species distribution, the microbiome, and much more. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 40(2): 129–148. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15685381-20191194>

Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 3(5): 294–299.

Forin-Wiart M-A, Poulle M-L, Piry S, Cosson J-F, Larose C, Galan M (2018) Evaluating metabarcoding to analyse diet composition of species foraging in anthropogenic landscapes using Ion Torrent and Illumina sequencing. *Scientific Reports* 8(1): 17091. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34430-7>

Fritz U, Branch WR, Hofmeyr MD, Maran J, Prokop H, Schleicher A, Široký P, Stuckas H, Vargas-Ramírez M, Vences M, Hundsdörfer AK (2010) Molecular phylogeny of African hinged and helmeted terrapins (Testudines: Pelomedusidae: *Pelusios* and *Pelomedusa*). *Zoologica Scripta* 40(2): 115–125. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2010.00464.x>

Gostel MR, Kress WJ (2022) The expanding role of DNA barcodes: Indispensable tools for ecology, evolution, and conservation. *Diversity (Basel)* 14(3): 213. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d14030213>

Hedges SB, Marion AB, Lipp KM, Marin J, Vidal N (2014) A taxonomic framework for typhlopidae snakes from the Caribbean and other regions (Reptilia: Squamata). *Caribbean Herpetology* 49: 1–61. <https://doi.org/10.31611/ch.49>

Heyden S (2022) Environmental DNA surveys of African biodiversity: State of knowledge, challenges, and opportunities. *Environmental DNA* 5(1): 12–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.363>

Huang X, Madan A (1999) CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. *Genome Research* 9(9): 868–877. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.9.868>

Jaynes KE, Myers EA, Drewes RC, Bell RC (2021) New evidence for distinctiveness of the island-endemic Príncipe giant tree frog (Arthroleptidae: *Leptopelis palmatus*). *The Herpetological Journal* 31(3): 162–169. <https://doi.org/10.33256/31.3.162169>

Jesus J, Brehm A, Harris DJ (2006) Phylogenetic relationships of *Lygodactylus* geckos from the Gulf of Guinea islands: Rapid rates of mitochondrial DNA sequence evolution? *The Herpetological Journal* 16(3): 291–295. <https://digituma.uma.pt/bitstream/10400.13/4476/1/Phylogenetic%20relationships%20of%20Lygodactylus%20geckos%20from%20the%20Gulf%20of%20Guinea%20islands.pdf>

Jesus J, Nagy ZT, Branch WR, Wink M, Brehm A, Harris DJ (2009) Phylogenetic relationships of African green snakes (genus *Philothamnus* and *Hapsidophrys*) from São Tomé, Príncipe and Annobon islands based on mtDNA sequences, and comments on their colonization and taxonomy. *The Herpetological Journal* 19: 1–8. <https://digituma.uma.pt/bitstream/10400.13/4480/1/Phylogenetic%20relationships%20of%20African%20green%20snakes%20%28genera%20Philothamnus%20and%20Hapsidophrys%29%20from%20S%C3%A3o%20Tom%C3%A9%C3%A9%C2%8C.pdf>

Jones DT, Loader SP, Gower DJ (2006) Trophic ecology of East African caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona=, and their impact on forest soil invertebrates. *Journal of Zoology* 269(1): 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2006.00045.x>

Kindler C, Moosung M, Branch WR, Harvey J, Kehlmaier C, Nagy ZT, Prokop H, Široký P, Fritz U (2016) Comparative phylogeographies of six species of hinged terrapins (*Pelusios* spp.) reveal discordant patterns and unexpected differentiation in the *P. castaneus/P. chapini* complex and *P. rhodesianus*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. Linnean Society of London 117(2): 305–321. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12647>

Kyle KE, Allen MC, Dragon J, Bunnel JF, Reinert HK, Zappalorti R, Jaffe BD, Angle JC, Lockwood JL (2022) Combining surface and soil environmental DNA with artificial cover objects to improve terrestrial reptile survey detection. *Conservation Biology* 36(6): e13939. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13939>

Li J, Cui Y, Jiang J, Yu J, Niu L, Deng J, Shen F, Zhang L, Yue B, Li J (2017) Applying DNA barcoding to conservation practice: A case study of endangered birds and large mammals in China. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 26(3): 653–668. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1263-y>

Mahon AR, Jerde CL (2016) Using environmental DNA for invasive species surveillance and monitoring. In: Bourlat S (Ed.) *Marine Genomics*. Humana Press, New York, 131–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3774-5_8

Manaças S (1958) Anfíbios e répteis das ilhas de São Tomé e do Príncipe e do Ilhéu das Rolas. Conferência Internacional dos Africanistas Ocidentais, 6ª Sessão, S. Tomé. Comunicações 4: 179–192.

Manaças S (1973) Alguns dos anfíbios e répteis da província de S. Tomé e Príncipe. In: Livro de Homenagem ao Prof. Fernando Frade. Junta Investigação do Ultramar, Lisbon, 219–230.

Mata VA, Silva LP, Veríssimo J, Horta P, Raposeira H, McCracken GF, Rebelo H, Beja P (2021) Combining DNA metabarcoding and ecological networks to inform conservation biocontrol by small vertebrate predators. *Ecological Applications* 31(8): e02457. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2457>

Measey GJ, Vences M, Drewes RC, Chiari Y, Melo M, Bourles B (2007) Freshwater paths into the ocean: Molecular phylogeny of the frog *Ptychadena newtoni* gives insights into amphibian colonisation of oceanic islands. *Journal of Biogeography* 34(1): 7–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01589.x>

Meier R, Blaimer BB, Buanaventura E, Hartop E, von Rintelen T, Srivathsan A, Yeo D (2021) A re-analysis of the data in Sharkey et al.'s (2021) minimalist revision reveals that BINs do not deserve names, but BOLD Systems needs a stronger commitment to open science. *Cladistics* 38(2): 264–275. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cla.12489>

Melo M, Jones PJ, Lima RF (2022) The Avifauna of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 555–592. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_21

Mendes LF, Bivar-de-Sousa A (2022) Butterflies and Skippers (Lepidoptera: Papilionoidea) of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 349–369. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_13

Miller EC, Sellas AB, Drewes RC (2012) A new species of *Hemidactylus* (Squamata: Gekkonidae) from Príncipe Island, Gulf of Guinea, West Africa with comments on the African–Atlantic clade of *Hemidactylus* geckos. *African Journal of Herpetology* 61(1): 40–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2012.666680>

Moss WE, Harper LR, Davis MA, Goldberg CS, Smith MM, Johnson PTJ (2022) Navigating the trade-offs between environmental DNA and conventional field surveys for improved amphibian monitoring. *Ecosphere* 13(2): e3941. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.3941>

Nève G, Bonneau P, Coache A, Serrano A, Filippi G (2022) The Beetles (Coleoptera) of Príncipe, São Tomé and Annobón. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 295–348. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_12

Nordstrom B, Mitchell N, Byrne M, Jarman S (2022) A review of applications of environmental DNA for reptile conservation and management. *Ecology and Evolution* 12(6): e8995. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8995>

O'Connell KA, Prates I, Scheinberg LA, Mulder KP, Bell RC (2021) Speciation and secondary contact in a fossorial island endemic, the São Tomé caecilian. *Molecular Ecology* 30(12): 2859–2871. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15928>

Panisi M, Lima RF, Lima JC, Santos Y, Sinclair F, Tavares L, Holyoak DT (2022) Terrestrial Mollusca of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 407–429. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_16

Pasteur G (1962) Notes préliminaires sur les Lygodactyles (Gekkonidés). II. Diagnose de quelques *Lygodactylus* d'Afrique. *Bulletin l'Institut Fondamental d'Afrique Noire* 24: 606–614.

Paupério J, Fonseca N, Egelet B, Galhardo M, Ferreira S, Oxelfelt F, Beja P (2018) Deliverable 4.4 (D4.4): Protocol for next-genanalysis of eDNA samples, EnMetaGen project (Grant AgreementNo 668981).

Pompanon F, Deagle BE, Symondson WOC, Brown DS, Jarman SN, Taberlet P (2012) Who is eating what: Diet assessment using next generation sequencing. *Molecular Ecology* 21(8): 1931–1950. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05403.x>

Rainho A, Meyer CFJ, Thorsteinsdóttir S, Juste J, Palmeirim JM (2022) Current Knowledge and Conservation of the Wild Mammals of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands. In: Ceríaco LMP, Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 593–619. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_22

Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN (2007) Bold: The Barcode of Life Data System (<http://www.barcodinglife.org>). *Molecular Ecology Notes* 7(3): 355–364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x>

Ratnasingham S, Hebert PDN (2013) A DNA-based registry for all animal species: The barcode index number (BIN) system. *PLoS ONE* 8(7): e66213. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066213>

Rocha LA, Aleixo A, Allen G, Almeda F, Baldwin CC, Barclay MVL, Bates JM, Bauer AM, Benzoni F, Berns CM, Berumen ML, Blackburn DC, Blum S, Bolaños F, Bowie RCK, Britz R, Brown RM, Cadena CD, Carpenter K, Ceríaco LMP, Chakrabarty P, Chaves G, Choat JH, Clements KD, Collette BB, Collins A, Coyne J, Cracraft J, Daniel T, de Carvalho MR, de Queiroz K, Di Dario F, Drewes R, Dumbacher JP, Engilis Jr A, Erdmann MV, Eschmeyer W, Feldman CR, Fisher BL, Fjeldså J, Fritsch PW, Fuchs J, Getahun A, Gill A, Gomon M, Gosliner T, Graves GR, Griswold CE, Guralnick R, Hartel K, Helgen KM, Ho H, Iskandar DT, Iwamoto T, Jaafar Z, James HF, Johnson D, Kavanaugh D, Knowlton N, Lacey E, Larson HK, Last P, Leis JM, Lessios H, Liebherr J, Lowman M, Mahler DL, Mamonekene V, Matsuura K, Mayer GC, Mays Jr H, McCosker J, McDiarmid RW, McGuire J, Miller MJ, Mooi R, Mooi RD, Moritz C, Myers P, Nachman MW, Nussbaum RA, Foighil DÓ, Parenti LR, Parham JF, Paul E, Paulay G, Pérez-Emán J, Pérez-Matus A, Poe S, Pogonoski J,

Rabosky DL, Randall JE, Reimer JD, Robertson DR, Rödel M-O, Rodrigues MT, Roopnarine P, Rüber L, Ryan MJ, Sheldon F, Shinohara G, Short A, Simison WB, Smith-Vaniz WF, Springer VG, Stiassny M, Tello JG, Thompson CW, Trnski T, Tucker P, Valqui T, Vecchione M, Verheyen E, Wainwright PC, Wheeler TA, White WT, Will K, Williams JT, Williams G, Wilson EO, Winker K, Winterbottom R, Witt CC (2014) Specimen collection: An essential tool. *Science* 344(6186): 814–815. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.344.6186.814>

Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F (2016) VSEARCH: A versatile open-source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4: e2584. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584>

Rojahn J, Gleeson DM, Furlan E, Haeusler T, Bylemans J (2021) Improving the detection of rare native fish species in environmental DNA metabarcoding surveys. *Aquatic Conservation* 31(4): 990–997. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3514>

Roux-Estève R (1974) Révision systématique des Typhlopidae d'Afrique Reptilia - Serpentes. *Mémoires du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle*, Paris 87: 1–313. [Série A]

Ruppert KM, Kline RJ, Rahman MS (2019) Past, present, and future perspectives of environmental DNA (eDNA) metabarcoding: A systematic review in methods, monitoring, and applications of global eDNA. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 17: e00547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2019.e00547>

Shokralla S, Porter TM, Gibson JF, Dobosz R, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W, Golding GB, Hajibabaei M (2015) Massively parallel multiplex DNA sequencing for specimen identification using an Illumina MiSeq platform. *Scientific Reports* 5(1): 9687. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09687>

Silva LP, Mata VA, Lopes PB, Pereira P, Jarman S, Nm Lopes RJ, Beja P (2021) Advancing the integration of multi-marker metabarcoding data in dietary analysis of trophic generalists. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 19(6): 1420–1432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13060>

Simmons JE (2015) Herpetological collecting and collections management. 3rd Edn. Society for the Study of the Amphibians and Reptiles, Salt Lake City, Utah, 191 pp.

Smart U, Cihlar JCC, Budowle B (2021) International wildlife trafficking: A perspective on the challenges and potential forensic genetics solutions. *Forensic Science International: Genetics* 54: 102551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2021.102551>

Soares LB, Ceríaco LMP, Marques MP, Bastos-Silveira C, Scheinberg LA, Harris DJ, Brehm A, Jesus J (2018) Review of the leaf-litter skinks (Scincidae: *Panaspis*) from the Gulf of Guinea Islands, with the description of a new species. *African Journal of Herpetology* 67(2): 132–159. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21564574.2017.1413015>

Soares FC, Hancock JM, Palmeirim JM, Maia HA, Stéwart T, Lima RF (2022) Species Ecology in the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Distribution, Habitat Preferences, Assemblages and Interactions. In: Ceríaco LMP, de Lima RF, Melo M, Bell RC (Eds) *Biodiversity of the Gulf of Guinea Oceanic Islands: Science and Conservation*. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 171–188. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-06153-0_7

Sousa LL, Silva SM, Xavier R (2019) DNA metabarcoding in diet studies: Unveiling ecological aspects in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. *Environmental DNA* 1(3): 199–214. <https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.27>

Sousa ACA, Marques MP, Ceríaco LM (2022) Population size and diet of the Adamastor Skink, *Trachylepis adamastor* Ceríaco, 2015 (Squamata: Scincidae), on Tinhosa Grande Islet, São Tomé and Príncipe, Gulf of Guinea. *Herpetology Notes* 15: 553–557. <https://www.biotaxa.org/hn/article/view/73661>

Strauss L, Lima RF, Riesbeck F, Rödel M-O (2018) São Tomé Island endemic treefrogs (*Hyperolius* spp.) and land-use intensification: a tale of hope and caution. *Tropical Conservation Science* 11: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082918776434>

Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S (2021) MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38(7): 3022–3027. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120>

Uyeda JC, Drewes RC, Zimkus BM (2007) The California Academy of Sciences Gulf of Guinea Expeditions (2001, 2006) VI. A New Species of *Phrynobatrachus* from the Gulf of Guinea Islands and a Reanalysis of *Phrynobatrachus dispar* and *P. feae* (Anura: Phrynobatrachidae). *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 58(18): 367–385.

Vamos EE, Elbrecht V, Leese F (2017) Short COI markers for freshwater macroinvertebrate metabarcoding. *Metabarcoding and Metagenomics* 1: e14625. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.1.14625>

Vargas SM, Araújo FCF, Santos FR (2009) DNA barcoding of Brazilian sea turtles (Testudines). *Genetics and Molecular Biology* 32(3): 608–612. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572009005000050>

Wilson EO (2017) Biodiversity research requires more boots on the ground. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1(11): 1590–1591. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0360-y>

Zhang P, Wake MH (2009) A mitogenomic perspective on the phylogeny and biogeography of living caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53(2): 479–491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.06.018>